UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/616,221	07/14/2000	Rajeev Koodli	088245-0963	7016
23524 FOLEY & LAR	7590 12/15/201 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
150 EAST GILMAN STREET			LEVITAN, DMITRY	
P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2461	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	KOODLI ET AL. Art Unit 2461 correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dmitry H. Levitan pears on the cover sheet with the Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	2461
	pears on the cover sheet with the	
	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ✓ Claim(s) 1,3,7,9-12,14-21 and 23-27 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ✓ Claim(s) 3,9,14,21 and 24-27 is/are allowed. 6) ✓ Claim(s) 1,7,10-12,15-20 and 23 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Par er No[s]/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Amendment, filed 11/18/10, has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9-12, 14-21 and 23-27 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 limitations, directed to "the method of claim 22" are unclear, as claim 22 has been cancelled. Therefore, claim 23 is rejected as an incomplete claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. Claims 1, 7, 10-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Le (US 6,300,887).
- 2. Regarding claims 1 and 7, Le teaches a method (transferring compression and decompression information during a handoff of a mobile station, as shown on Fig. 2 and described on 17:8-67), comprising:

establishing a connection between a first network element and a mobile node (establishing a connection between a mobile terminal, 130 or 150, and a first network element, described as an Access Network Infrastructure (ANI) 120 or new ANI, as the mobile unit performs a handoff operation from old ANI to the New ANI, as shown on Fig. 2 and described on 17:25-55),

Art Unit: 2461

establishing a connection between the first network element and a second network element in response to a handoff request from the mobile node (inherently establishing a connection between first/new and second/old network elements/ANI, because the connection between new and old elements is essential for transfer header compression information from Old ANI to a New ANI, as a response/result of the mobile node handoff operation, shown on Fig. 2 and 5 and described on 5:35-44),

requesting by the first network element, header compression state information from the second network element and receiving, at the first network element, the requested header compression state information from the second network element (new network entity inherently requests compression state information from the old network entity, because this information, is transferred from the old network entity to the new network entity during handoff operation, as described on 3:55-4:10, wherein New and Old labels should be used to identify the network entities, as numbering of the network entities, first or second, is reversed in comparison with the claims language),

receiving at the first network element, the requested header compression state information from the second network element (New ANI receives header compression state information from the Old ANI, as shown on Fig. 5 and described on 3:55-4:10).

receiving at the first network element, a portion of the header compression state information from the mobile node (New ANI receives a portion of the header compression state information from the mobile node through the Old ANI, as described on 3:65-4:15).

Application/Control Number: 09/616,221

Art Unit: 2461

3. Regarding claim 12, Le teaches using the indicated compression state information for communication between the new network entity and the mobile terminal, as described on 18:30-48.

Page 4

4. Regarding claims 15 and 20, Le teaches a method (transferring compression and decompression information during a handoff of a mobile station, as shown on Fig. 2 and described on 17:8-67) comprising:

initiating, by a mobile node, a handoff procedure to a first network element from a second network element (mobile terminal initiates a handoff operation to a first network element/New ANI from a second network element/Old ANI, as shown on Fig. 2 and described on 17:25-55)

establishing a connection between the mobile node and the first network element (establishing a connection between a mobile terminal and the first network element/ New ANI, as a result of the mobile terminal handoff from the Old ANI, as described on 17:25-55), and

sending at least a portion of header compression state information from the mobile node to the first network element as part of the handoff procedure (sending decompressor context information from the mobile node through the first/Old network entity to the second/New network entity, as described on 3:65-4:14).

5. Regarding claims 11, 16 and 17, Le teaches sending a snapshot of compressed context information to the first/new network node, wherein the information indicates the latest acknowledged FH packet, as shown on Fig. 7 and described on 21:1-50.

Application/Control Number: 09/616,221 Page 5

Art Unit: 2461

6. Regarding claims 18 and 19, Le teaches sending packets with compressed headers from the mobile station to the first/new network node after the handoff completion, utilizing previously received compression state information, as described on 4:66-5:44.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 9, 14, 21, 24-27 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

On page 10 of the Response, Applicant argues that Le does not teach "receiving at the first network element a portion of the header compression state information from the mobile node". Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Le clearly teaches mobile unit sending the snapshot of the decompression context information to a new network entity/ANI, as described on 3:55-4:15.

Therefore, new network element/entity, receives a portion of the header compression state information, transmitted from the mobile node through the Old network entity.

Art Unit: 2461

On page 11 of the Response, Applicant argues that Le teaching of handoff operation, comprising synchronization between the compressor and decompressor are different from claims limitations, directed to "a portion of header compression state information".

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Claims limitations, directed to transmitting or receiving "a portion of header compression state information", do not exclude Le teaching of the handoff operation, comprising exchange of sync information of the compressor/decompressor, as the sync information is a part of the header compression.

On page 12 of the Response, Applicant argues that Fig. 5 shows that new ANI is not receiving a portion of the compression state information.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Fig. 5 clearly shows "Old ANI takes a snapshot of ... and transfers to new ANI", wherein the Old ANI receives the compression state information from the mobile device, as described in more details on 3:55-4:15.

Applicant arguments, directed to Fig. 4, are irrelevant as they are not directed to the claims or the portion of Le, used for the rejection of the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry H. Levitan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3093.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry H. Levitan/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2461